

REMARKS

This is in response to the communication mailed on November 10, 2005, in which the Examiner identified Applicant's response mailed August 22, 2005 as being not fully responsive to the Office Action mailed July 21, 2005.

In the response mailed August 22, 2005, Applicant elected, with traverse, to prosecute claims 1-8, 19-24 and 31-36 of Group I. However, Applicant inadvertently failed to further elect one of the sub-inventions of Group I identified by the Examiner as I-A (claims 2 and 20); I-B (claim 3); I-C (claims 4-6 and 21-23); and I-D (claims 7, 8, 24 and 31-36).

Applicant hereby further elects, with traverse, to prosecute invention I-D identified by the Examiner in the event that the linking claims 1 and 19 are not allowed.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the requirement for restriction identified above. In general, each of the identified inventions are sufficiently related such that they should be prosecuted in one application.

Additionally, the Examiner has failed to satisfy the burden of showing that the identified inventions are related as subcombinations that are disclosed as usable together in a single combination and are each separately usable. The only finding provided by the Examiner was that "Group I-A has a separately usable process of forming coplanar first and second magnetic leads that is not required in any of Groups I-B through I-D."

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction is respectfully requested.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: 

Brian D. Kaul, Reg. No. 41,885
Suite 1400 - International Centre
900 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

BDK/djb